

Section 1 - Module A

Questian 1 - transformations.

The transformation of the test Hamlet by Shakespeare, into Stoppard's contemporary text Rosencrante and Chuldenstern are dead highlights the ability of a composer to say something new. The exploration of this transformation deals with the inne that andrences no what to expect and that's all they are prepared to believe. By applying new aspects on the fundamental innes of life, stoppard blogg & defies what the auchence expects, this giving them isomeoner something new to aspine to.

Hamlets text in a contemporary arrists in a different reading of the norrative. The intertextuality of Hamlet with Moppards play highlights these contrastry language transformations. Instead of taking

the formal approach using Makespearres rhyming nerse, Stoppard implements more connical colloquial language. There with this is mind we see a heightened connection with the leading characters and the audience. The manipulation of shakespeare's language, permits the audience to take a lighthearted towards the events of Hamlet, transmitting a congratal conical image. Hamlet claims "I could be bounded in a nutshell and count myself a King of infinite space, were it not that I have bad dreams "Stoppards Interpretation, "He's depressed! Denmarks a prison and he'd pather live in a nutshell" Stoppards language also assists in Mununating a different view of on the theme of tate and destroy. Ros and Guil, cannot enforce action or behavious, but can use and cantral words. Words assist them in escaping the limits placed on them by Hamlet Clearly it is evident, that through the transformation

of language techniques Stoppard takes a light hearted view on the consequences of the world. Transformation assist in Municipating the difference between each composer's views on fundamental irsues. Ros and Curils fale and destiny is determined by stakespeare. Yet again we Ros and anil looking to others for direction. They are confused by the inability to control action. "we have no control. None at all" Hoppard transforms the destiny of the Prince of Denmark, into the desting of humanity. The exploration of fate has changed, as a result we see evidence that of the questioning of reason. The narratine is now a story about our own desting. Evidently Stoppard is saying 'something new'. Offer another meaning to Hamlet . Stoppard also illuminates the inevitability in death and choice, highlighting that the only certainty in life is death.

BOARDOF STUDIES

stoppard bythe partrays death as a simple absence. Shakespeare as 'violence, blood and duch" Hamlet explores the preference of life and death in his "to be or not to be soliloguy!" "Whether' tis nobler in the mind to suffer. or take arms against a see of troubles! He comes to the conclusion that life is preferable to death. In this case, we see Stoppard incorporating this view in his text, but of course in a more light hearted fashian Rosencrantz imagines death as life in a box. Concluding that "Life in a box is better than no life at dl!" Ros and Cuil's death is signified by simple being unable to re-appear. We see Stoppard transforming death from the dramatic to the everyday. Appearance versus reality also seems q prominent focus in Hoppard's transformat. ion. Like other critics he suggests that Ros and and are not the self decepting

characters of Hamlet, but are swept away by forces greater than themselves. He afters and questions their notivation, asking "Did we not have control at some point" The power of self doception is also evident. "One may smile and smile and be a villan! Through stoppard's exploration, then he suggest that we are discovered by others. His ability to 'say something new' takes the mystery and romance out of the inevitable, revealing reality. character transformations, also assist in Hoppard's partrayal of his contrasting opinions to Stakespeare. Hamlet is an account of the events in Hamlet life by renaming the play, E we see the power of the character shiff. The result he is no longer a sharecter for protagonist, but a character at perphery. Persuading our sympathies to no longer lie with him los and anil are now central



to the exploration of main themes. Their transformation from secondary characters to those of more significance being the drive behind the play. We sympathise with them and their inevitability, as me see it as our own. Shakespeare partrays these characters as self centred and Mangalatin manipulative. This provides stoppard with a challenge to present a different reality. Hoppard takes the basis of shakespeare's confusion between Ros and Well Guil bringing it to another renel. We see the characters themselves can bused about who is who. I am Guildenstern.... Sorry I am Rosencrantz' HA Althour gh the characters are transformed, they but hoth there is no evidence that they are now the hero stoppard just provides Ros and Guil with a more recognisable The intertextuality of these two plays,

