## 2001 HIGHER SCHOOL CERTIFICATE EXAMINATION Modern History

Section I (continued)

## Question 3 (10 marks)

Assess how useful Sources C and D would be for an historian studying the Allies' approaches to Germany at the Paris Peace Conference.

In your answer, consider the perspectives provided by the two sources, and their reliability.

Both Sources C and D hald be extremely useful for an historian studying the Allies' appointing to Gernary after the war, although both have serious problems with reliability.

Source C provides a summary of woodow Wilson's perspective on the poace with Germany. It would be useful for an historian attempting to examine Wilson's intent before arriving at in Boisc.

Wilson's idealism shines through in the wording of the speech and the text addresses what will become stilling points for Germany in her bijtimate influence).

Source C would serve as a good aunterbalance for source D's regerentions about the peace process.

It would be very useful for an historian steadying Allied appearales to peace, with the classics proving

Question 3 continues on page 6

that it deals with only one of the tree and does not reflect the views of the speech is lessertial record). Confirmation of intent is required is thus exentially unrebiable designed to present American in the para process in the most forwardle light possible (and win over hostile Republicans to Milsons point of view Source D is less useful since it does not the Allied approach to the peace, but it extremely with for the historian in understanding the Allied neophotock were howing to answer Source D documents some of the discribins German government registered over the settlemen at Vosailles. This would let the which sections were particularly dijectional petrops also help him or hes Space D. Hose source C, is inherently unschiedde is now generally rejected). As a poli argument it is executively unaliable

## **End of Question 3**