

Start here.

(1) There are many benefits and limitations to argue in relation to drug testing in sport. Drug testing is beneficial in allowing for a 'fair field' of play. Many athletes are caught using performance enhancing drugs that in turn allow for a greater advantage over their opponents. E.g. an endurance athlete using EPO will have an increased advantage due to the increase of oxygen & red blood cells. Although there are many benefits behind drug testing many athletes caught may lose sponsorship deals & have a loss of reputation. This decreasing their mental & emotional health. E.g. Marion Jones the USA Olympic 100M gold medalist caught using performance enhancing drugs & suffered severe loss of reputation & was not idolised as a sporting hero instead stripped of her medals. This making drug testing a limitation for some athletes.

(2) Performance enhancing drugs are considered highly unethical in sport as they may put athletes on a uneven playing field. Many athletes may not have access to these drugs due to location: e.g. living in a country where poverty is an issue, socioeconomic status: e.g. price of the ergogenic aids are too high. This therefore creating an unfair advantage for particular athletes. Drugs

are considered highly unethical due to the effects & demands they place on an athlete's body. There are many dangers associated with taking drugs e.g. blood doping may cause a transmission of infection into the blood stream. This causes a major danger for the athlete & will ~~inturn~~ decrease performance levels & may result in sickness & death. It is considered a risk for both athletes & components due to the transmission of this through these components.

Drugs are considered highly unethical in sports as they create a unfair playing field for athletes due to access & may result in sickness & death.

Additional writing space on back page.