

Start here.

Question 30 @ (i)

One benefit of drug testing is that it is able to identify when an athlete has cheated by taking drugs and can then make the competition fair.

One limitation of drug testing is that it can not keep up with the development of new drugs. Which means it is difficult to monitor ~~perform~~ highly performing athletes as they could be taking drugs that the drug test is unable to detect.

(ii)

Performancing enhancing drugs are considered unethical in sport as it is not a fair competition, sends the wrong messages to young athletes and can be very dangerous for the athletes that take them. The fact that these type of drugs enhance performance it means that all accomplishments achieved by the drug takers are not real and it is unfair on these competition. For example a Olympic sprinter who ~~won~~ gold was found positive for taking performancing enhancing drugs it means that if the ~~people~~ athlete who came second and did not take drugs is cheated of their own glory. The message that performance enhancing drugs sends to young athletes can be quite dangerous. This is because young athletes may be influenced to take drugs which could

lead to dangerous stress put on these bodies.

An example of this can be if an athlete was tested positive for taking the Human Growth Hormone and this athlete was an idd to a young athlete. The young athlete may decide to take it but there body is not as equipt to deal with the drugs so the consequences on their body are more serious.

Finally the dangers that these performance enhancing drugs have on the athletes that use them can be fatal. These drugs can lead to things such as strokes, heart attacks and cardiac arrest. This is unethical for ~~the~~ sport as it makes it look like ~~the~~ athletes are willing to put their lives at risk in order to ~~achieve~~ win.

This is why ~~performancing~~ performance enhancing drugs are considered unethical.

Additional writing space on back page.